nty _	Clinton		Route	S.R. 26		D	es. No.	1592971	
CA	TEGORICAL	EXCLUSI	ON/E	ENVIRO	nental Docum ONMENT INFORMATIO	AL ASS	ESSME	NT FOF	M
Road	l No./County:	Sta	te Road	(S.R.) 20	; Clinton Co	ounty	NI.		
Desig	gnation Number:	159	2971						
Proje	ect Description/Te				ion with land to 0.38 mile o			ening fron	1 0.38
	mpleting this form, I co approve if Level 4 CE):	onclude that this						on (FHWA m	ust
	Categorical Excl Level 2 - table 1,								
X	Categorical Excl Level 3 - table 1,	lusion, Level 3 CE Level Thre	– The p	roposed ac Required S	tion meets the	criteria for M, ES (Env	r Categoric vironmental	al Exclusion Services D	ı Manua ivision)
	Categorical Excl Level 4 - table 1,							al Exclusion	ı Manua
	Environmental A	ssessment (E	4) – EAs	require a s	eparate FONS	. Addition	nal research	and docum	entation
	is necessary to der	termine the effe	ects on th	e environn	ent. Required	Signatories	s: e5, ffi w	71	
Note: For	documents prepared by o	or for Environment	al Services						ct is
Note: For located to		or for Environment	al Services						ct is
Note: For located to	documents prepared by o	or for Environment	al Services						ct is
located to	documents prepared by o release for public involve	or for Environment	al Services	Division, it is					ct is
located to	documents prepared by o release for public involve	or for Environment	al Services oproval.	Division, it is	not necessary for			which the proje	ct is
ocated to	documents prepared by o release for public involve	or for Environment	al Services oproval.	Division, it is	not necessary for			which the proje	ct is
located to	documents prepared by o release for public involve	or for Environment	al Services oproval. Date	Division, it is	not necessary for			which the proje	ct is
Approv	documents prepared by o release for public involve	or for Environment ment or sign for ap	al Services oproval. Date	Division, it is	not necessary for			which the proje	ct is
Approv	documents prepared by o release for public involve. alESM Signature	or for Environment ment or sign for ap	al Services oproval. Date	Division, it is	not necessary for	the ESM of t		which the proje	ct is
Approv	documents prepared by o release for public involve. alESM Signature for Public Involven	or for Environment ment or sign for ap	al Services oproval. Date	Division, it is	S Signature Date	the ESM of t	he district in v	which the proje	ct is
Approv Release	documents prepared by o release for public involve. alESM Signature for Public Involven	or for Environment ment or sign for ap FHWA Signent	al Services oproval. Date	Division, it is	S Signature Date	the ESM of t	4-30	which the proje	ctis
Release ESM In	documents prepared by o release for public involve. al	FHWA Sig	al Services oproval. Date	Division, it is	S Signature Date	the ESM of t	4-30	which the proje	ct is
Release ESM In	documents prepared by o release for public involve. alESM Signature for Public Involven	FHWA Sig	Date nature	Division, it is	S Signature Date S Initials	the ESM of t	4-30	which the proje	ctis
Release ESM In	documents prepared by o release for public involve. al	FHWA Signent Date IvementOffi	Date mature	Division, it is E	S Signature Date REB SInitials	Date	4-30 Date	which the proje	
Release ESM In Certifica Vote: Do	documents prepared by o release for public involve. al	FHWA Signent Date IvementOffi	Date mature	Division, it is E	S Signature Date REB SInitials	Date Date	4-30 Date	which the proje	

County	Clinton	Route	S.R. 26	Des.	Ńο	1592971
		<i>y</i>	(
		<u>Part I - PUE</u>	BLIC INVOL	<u>VEMENT</u>		
Every Federal development	l action requires son process. The level (ne level of public involveme of public involvement sho	nt, providing for ea ould be commens	rly and continuous oppurate with the propos	oortuniti sed acti	es throughout the projection.
	- i	- litete de buildus unsessed	under the Historia	Yes	<u> </u>	No X
If N	o, then:	a historic bridge processed	under the historic	Y	IJ └ ¬ ┌	
		blic Hearing Required?		<u> </u>		×
*A public hear FHWA, SHPC	ring is required for a D, and the ACHP.	all historic bridges processe	ed under the Histor	ic Bridges Programma	atic Agre	ement between INDO
Discuss what meetings, spe Remarks:	public involvement pcial purpose meetin	t activities (legal notices, ags, newspaper articles, etc	letters to affected .) have occurred fo	property owners and r this project.	resider	nts (i.e. notice of entry
Nemans.	Property Owner	Notification Letter				
	On 4/5/2017 Burge Letters were mailed	ess and Niple (B&N) sent prop d to property owners adjacent	perty owner notificati to SR 26. A copy of	on letters concerning su the letter is located in A	rvey wor ppendix	k along SR 26. B, Page 126.
	Public Meeting					
	within the project I meeting held on 6/ public at 6:00 pm a with INDOT and or	g was held for the project. Not imits. The public was notified 7/2018 at Rossville High Scho and then followed by opportun onsultant staff members. No veriod following the meeting. (d of the project on IN ool; 1 Robert Egly Dr ity for questions and written comments wer	DOT's webpage. 59 peo ive, Rossville, IN. A pro answers. The public vie	ople atter esentation wed exh	nded the public n was made to the libits and talked
	Section 106					
	Policies and Proce Preservation Act, a 2019, (Appendix D (APE), eligibility d	ines established in the current dures Manual and the Section legal notice was published in page D-38), offering the publications and the "No Hiceived during the 30 day communications and the "No Hiceived during the 30 day communications".	106 public involvent The Frankfort Times blic the opportunity to storic Properties Affe	nent requirements under newspaper in Frankfort submit comments on the	the National Indiana ne Area c	onal Historic on January 10, of Potential Effect
	Opportunity for P	ublic Hearing				
	Transportation (IN opportunity to subrublication conting	cet will meet the minimum requipment Public Involvement Manit comment and/or request a gent upon the release of this do requirements are fulfilled.	<i>nual</i> that would requ	ire the project sponsor to fore, a legal notice will	offer the appear in	ne public an n a local
	19			ε		
Public Cor Will the pro	ntroversy on Enviro ject involve substan	onmental Grounds tial controversy concerning	community and/or	natural resource impa	acts?	Yes No X
Remarks:	To date, no know	n public controversy concerni	ng community and/or	natural resource impac	ts have b	een identified
	(9 9	1	×
This is pa	age 2 Projec	ot name: S.R. 26 Paven	nent Rehabilitation ar	nd Shoulder Widening	_ Date	e: April 18, 2019

			:			
County Clinton	<u> </u>	Route S	.R. 26	Des. N	o. <u>1592971</u>	
Part II - Go	eneral Project	ldentification	on, Descriptio	on, and Des	sign Inforn	<u>nation</u>
			1			
Sponsor of the Proj Local Name of the				INDOT D	District: Crawfor	dsville
Funding Source (m	ark all that apply):	Federal X Star	te X Local	Other*	Sec. 1	
*If other is selected	, please identify the ful	nding source:				
PURPOSE AND	NEED:				J	
	tation problem that the to the CE Manual, Se			raffic problem sho	uld NOT be discı	issed
Based on the Enginee limits:	er's Report prepared by I	Burgess & Niple, date	1 1/13/2018, the followi	ng needs were ident	tified within the pro	oject
heavy occurrence thre	avel lanes have deteriorate oughout the project lengt ag the life of the overall r	th. This deterioration of	shoulders with both long of the edge of the travel	gitudinal and transve lane will quickly de	erse cracks in mode teriorate the remai	erate to ning
shoulders to recover	roject experiences a high when vehicles leave the t area from 2012 to 2016 l	ravel lane as identifie	er of crashes for similar d in the Crash Analysis	type of roadway. M in the Engineer's Re	lost are due to the eport. The section	lack of of SR
4 - Fatal or inca 9 - Non-incapa 66 - Property o	apacitating injury crashes citating & possible injur nly crashes	s y crashes				
	cy Crashes per year for a h Frequency is 0.92.	ill crashes is 9.06				
0.40-mile west of N. C.R. 400 E where no	the roadway have flooding County Road (C.R.) 200 culvert currently exists a S.R. 26 at the above lister	E. where existing cul- it a low point of the ro	verts lack hydraulic cap ad during a one hundred	acity and approxima 1-year rainfall event	ately 0.15-mile wes	st of N.
The purpose of the pr flooding and road clo	oject is to provide a safe sure.	travel roadway, prolo	ng pavement life, and in	nprove hydraulic ca	pacity to reduce re	adway
,						
PROJECT DESC	RIPTION (PREFER	RED ALTERNAT	VE):			
County: Clinton		Municipality	Unincorporated – S	Sedalia, Geetingsvill	le, and Middlefork	
Limits of Proposed \	Nork: State Road 26:	0.38 mile east of S.R.	75 to 0.38 mile east of	S.R. 29		
Total Work Length:	6.16 Mil	e(s)	Total Work Area:	92.29 A	cre(s)	
Is an Interchange M If yes, when did the	odification Study / Inte FHWA grant a condition	rchange Justification onal approval for this	n Study (IMS/IJS) req s project?	uired?	Yes ¹ Date:	No X
This is page 3	Project name:	S.R. 26 Pavement	Rehabilitation and Shor	ılder Widening	Date: April 18	3, 2019

County Clinton Route S.R. 26	Des. No.	1592971	
------------------------------	----------	---------	--

¹If an IMS or IJS is required; a copy of the approved CE/EA document must be submitted to the FHWA with a request for final approval of the IMS/IJS.

In the remarks box below, describe existing conditions, provide in detail the scope of work for the project, including the preferred alternative. Include a discussion of logical termini. Discuss any major issues for the project and how the project will improve safety or roadway deficiencies if these are issues.

The proposed project is located on State Road 26, from 0.38 miles east of State Road 75 to 0.38 miles east of State Road 29 in Clinton County, as listed as reference post (RP) 55.65 to 62.64 containing approximately 92.29 acres. This area is primarily rural area consisting of agricultural and residential land. The project area passes through the unincorporated communities of Sedalia, Geetingsville, and Middlefork. The project area is within the Indiana Department of Transportation's Crawfordsville District, Frankfort Sub-District The project area is shown on the Project Area maps in Appendix B, page B-2.

This project is the middle section of three adjacent projects intended to improve State Route 26. The adjacent sections are DES #1400263 (extends from the western limit of this project and DES #1400265 (extends east from eastern limit of this segment). All three projects are under one contract with DES# 1400263 as the lead project number. The other two segments are covered by separate NEPA documents due to the design portion of the project being awarded in the three segments. When the projects were initiated, INDOT divided the project into three standalone projects due to concerns with available funding to construct the entire project at one time. Each of the three sections have separate pavement issues, variable lane widths, shoulder widths, and ROW requirements. The separation of the three projects allows each project to standalone and doesn't require the other two projects to be constructed. Each project thus has independent utility and does not depend on the adjacent projects. This portion of the project has independent utility since it doesn't require the adjacent projects to be constructed and doesn't limit alternatives for the adjacent projects. And, the logical termini for this project are 0.38 mile east of S.R. 75 to 0.38 mile east of S.R. 29. This CE focuses on this segment (DES# 1592971) of the overall project.

A CE-1 was prepared for advanced acquisition of right-of-way (ROW). The CE-1 was approved by INDOT on 10/15/2018. The CE-1 was completed to allow for ROW acquisition to move forward prior to the approval of this environmental document.

The proposed project will widen the existing S.R. 26 lanes from 11 to 12 ft. and add 8 ft. wide shoulders in both directions. The 8 ft. shoulders will consist of 2 ft. paved surface and 6 ft. of gravel surface. Sections of the roadway will be raised from 1-6 ft. to prevent flooding of the road during high water events. The segments of sidewalks within Sedalia will be reconstructed.

The preferred alternative will shift the roadway centerline to the north 3-4 ft. where feasible to eliminate the need to widen the existing pavement on the south side. The existing pavement along the south side edge line is deteriorating considerably, due to the lack of shoulders; therefore 1 ft. of pavement along the north side shall be removed before widening. The existing pavement will be milled 1.5" and replaced with a functional overlay. Pavement recycling shall be evaluated as an alternative. Edge and centerline rumble stripes shall be installed to reduce run off the road and left of center crashes. A curb and gutter section shall be used in Sedalia and Geetingsville where required to control drainage and minimize impacts to the adjacent properties.

A total of 99 S.R. 26 culverts and drive pipes will be added or replaced along the length of the project area as well as sporadic curb and gutter replacement primarily in Sedalia and Geetingsville and guardrail replacement throughout. There are no proposed construction activities between approximately 0.36-mile west of N. C.R. 500 E. to approximately 0.15-mile east of N. C.R. 500 E. This section of S.R. 26 had shoulders installed, the lanes widened and bridge replacement during a previous construction project. There will also be no work occurring to a large box culvert carrying Robinson Branch located at the intersection of S.R. 26 and N. C.R. 100 E. other than milling and guardrail replacement above the culvert.

The use of roadside ditches within the rural section of the project area will require moving the drainage ditch on the north side of SR 26 north to allow for the widening of the roadway and shoulders. Where the roadway will be widened to both sides of the existing alignment, the ditch on the south side of the road will also be moved to the south.

A detour will be used during construction for thru traffic. The proposed detour will utilize S.R. 75 and S.R. 29 for north/south travel and S.R. 18 will be the east/west detour route. This detour will add approximately 18.4 miles onto travel through the area. Access for local traffic will be allowed from cross roads to access residents along S.R. 26.

To accommodate the wider travel lanes, the new shoulders, and relocation of the road side ditches, ROW will be purchased from 76 parcels for a total of 57.655 acres of permanent ROW and 3.031 acres of temporary ROW. All ROW will be strip acquisitions along the current road frontage of properties. There will be no relocations of residents or businesses due to the ROW acquisition. The purchase of the ROW will not impact the current uses of the properties. An advanced authorization CE-1 was approved on 10/15/2018

County	Clinton	Route	S.R. 26	Des. No.	1592971					
Therefore,	to begin the acquisition of R there is no ROW acquisition he selection of the preferred	for this CE document. A	ROW is needed	solely for the purpose of the prop ired following the Uniform Reloca	osed improvements. tion Act and did not					
Attached in (Appendix	Attached in Appendix B are the Project Aerial and topographic maps (Appendix B, page B-3-9), the photo log of the project area (Appendix B, page B-10-17) and the Plans (Appendix B, page B-18-125).									
	E									
	ALTERNATIVES CONS									
Describe all o was not selec	discarded alternatives, incl eted.	luding the Do-Nothing	Alternative and	an explanation of why each di	scarded alternative					
Rehabilita	tion Alternative:									
shoulders we the current pavement.	vill cause the edge line to con pavement issues but will cos	tinue deteriorating at a part to the time of the concerns along this con-	progressed rate. The pavement due ridor would not be	oadway lanes. The narrow road w his option is likely the least exper to the increased rate of deterioration e addressed. This alternative does further.	nsive way to resolve on along the edge of					
Full-Depth	Replacement Alternative:									
serviceable address the continued d	This option does not appear to be financially prudent because most of the existing pavement is in good enough condition to be serviceable for many more years providing that appropriate future maintenance and overlays are completed. This alternative does not address the safety issues of widening the lanes and adding the shoulders, the flooding issues, and long-term pavement life due to the continued damage from flooding. This alternative would be costlier to construct and would not increase the overall life of the pavement compared to the preferred alternative. This alternative did not satisfy the purpose and need. This option will not be considered further.									
Do Nothing	g Alternative:		*							
as it has in s	several locations and will res have water overtop at severa	ult in emergency patchin I locations. This option	ng and unsafe dri would however n	ne. The edge of pavement will corving conditions. Additionally, the ot impact any water resources with d will not be considered further.	roadway will					
Wetland A	voidance Alternative:									
shoulder wi	dth, two residential propertie	s will need to be acquire	ed and the familie	and still address the roadway issue as relocated. This will increase the idance of wetlands alternative wa	ROW costs for the					
	,									
It would no It would no It would no It would no	t correct existing capacity t correct existing safety ha t correct the existing road t correct existing deteriora sult in serious impacts to the	deficiencies; izards; vay geometric deficiel ted conditions and ma	ncies; aintenance prob	cause (Mark all that apply): lems; or e of the economy.	X					
ROADWA	Y CHARACTER:			9						
					-					

County _	Clinton		Route	S.R. 26		Des. No	o. <u>1592971</u>	
Functional Cl Current ADT: Design Hour Designed Sp	: Volume (DHV):	Principal A 5470 570 Varies 30-55	rterial VPD (20 Truck Perce Legal Speed	ntage (%)	ign Year AI 9% AADT Varies 30-55		VPD (2040)
		Existing		Propo	sed			
Number of La Type of Lane Pavement W Shoulder Wid Median Width Sidewalk Wid	es: idth: dth: n:	0 N/A	ft. ft. ft. ft.	2 Throug 12 8 N/A Varie	ft. ft. ft.			
Setting: Topography:		Urban X Level	X Subi	urban X	Rural Hilly		٠	
f the proposed	action has multi	ple roadways,	this section sh	ould be filled	d out for eac	ch roadway.		
		DIDOEO						
DESIGN CRI	TERIA FOR E	SKIDGES:						
Structure/NB	I Number(s):	026-12-01808		Sı	ufficiency R	ating: 77.6 (Bridge	e Inspection Re	mort)
					amoiorioy i c		ource of Inform	
		Existing		Propo				
Bridge Type:			i-beam or Girde	Propo		(Rating, S		
Number of S	pans:	Stringer/Mult		Propo	sed	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restr	pans: ictions:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A	ton	Propo	sed rk on the bridge ton	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri	pans: ictions: ctions:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A	ton ft.	Propo	sed rk on the bridge ton ft.	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb	pans: ictions: ictions: Width:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A 30	ton ft. ft.	Propo	sed rk on the bridge ton ft. ft.	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Ou	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A N/A 30 46	ton ft. ft. ft.	Propo	sed rk on the bridge ton ft. ft. ft. ft.	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Ou Shoulder Wid	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A N/A 30 46	ton ft. ft.	Propo	sed rk on the bridge ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft.	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Ou	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A N/A 30 46	ton ft. ft. ft.	Propo	sed rk on the bridge ton ft. ft. ft. ft.	(Rating, S		
Number of Sp Weight Restr Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Ou Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: annel Work:	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice	ton ft. ft. ft. ft.	Propo r No wo	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft.	(Rating, S	ource of Inform	
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: annel Work: bridges and str	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provide no work on the	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific loca te bridge within	Propo r No wo	ton ft.	(Rating, S	ource of Inform	
Number of Sp Weight Restr Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Ou Shoulder Wic Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: annel Work: bridges and str	Stringer/Mult 1 N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific loca te bridge within	Propo r No wo	ton ft.	(Rating, S	ource of Inform	
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will thas no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice no work on the ficant crash hist	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific loca e bridge within tory, and is on a	Propo r No wo	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. etion for smeeting as the bridge evel section	(Rating, Soll structures.) Ill structures. In the structures of S.R. 26.	ource of Information,	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice on work on the ficant crash history are replacement/a	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a	Propo r No wo ation informa the project ar tangent and le	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. htelea as the bride evel section of the length of	ell structures. lage is in satisfactory conf S.R. 26. the project. These are	ondition,	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice on work on the ficant crash history in the control of th	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a	Propo r No won ation informa the project ar tangent and le ulverts along to the Plans in	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. htelea as the bride evel section ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft.	ell structures. In the project of S.R. 26. Ithe project These are page B-47 for Structures.	ondition,	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice on work on the ficant crash history are replacement/a	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a	Propo r No won ation informa the project ar tangent and le ulverts along to the Plans in	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. htelea as the bride evel section ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft.	ell structures. In the project of S.R. 26. Ithe project These are page B-47 for Structures.	ondition,	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice on work on the ficant crash history in the control of th	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a	Propo r No won ation informa the project ar tangent and le ulverts along to the Plans in	ton ft.	(Rating, S) dige	ondition, summarized be	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice on work on the ficant crash history in the control of th	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a	Propo r No won ation informa the project ar tangent and le ulverts along to the Plans in	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. stion for smale	dge all structures. dge is in satisfactory coff S.R. 26. the project. These are, page B-47 for Struct e Table below:	ondition,	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice on work on the ficant crash his for replacement/a ucture No. and Stry ditches unless.	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. fe. de specific locate bridge within tory, and is on a addition of 99 ct Stationing withis s otherwise note	Propo r No wo ation informathe project artangent and lead all verts along the project artangent and the Plans in the Pla	ton ft.	dge all structures. dge is in satisfactory coff S.R. 26. the project. These are, page B-47 for Struct e Table below:	ondition, summarized be	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice the no work on the ficant crash history are replacement/a ucture No. and Structure No. and Structure No.	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a addition of 99 creates otherwise notes	Propo r No wo ation informa the project ar tangent and le alverts along t n the Plans in d under "Stre	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. stion for smale	dge dge dge dge dge dge dge dge	ondition, summarized be	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice no work on the ficant crash history and string the replacement/a ucture No. and Structure No. Structure No. 200	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locate bridge within tory, and is on a addition of 99 creates otherwise notes Station 1349+92	Propo r No won attion informate the project are tangent and lead to the project are tangent and lead to the Plans in the Plans in the dunder "Street CMP	ton ft.	(Rating, S) dige dige (Rating, S) dige is in satisfactory conformation of S.R. 26. the project. These are page B-47 for Struct e Table below: gth CV-026-012-55.67 6 CV-026-012-56.02	ondition, summarized be ure locations. A	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Ou Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice no work on the ficant crash history ditches unless the structure No. and Stry ditches unless the structure No. 200 201 202	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locate bridge within tory, and is on a addition of 99 ct Stationing within to the station of the stat	Propo r No won ation informathe project artangent and lead under "Street and under	ton ft.	(Rating, S) dige dige (Rating, S) dige sin satisfactory confectory confec	ondition, summarized be ure locations. A	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice of the properties of the provided of th	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locale bridge within tory, and is on a addition of 99 ct Stationing within to otherwise note Station 1349+92 1368+11 1407+80 1465+53	Propo r No wo ation informa the project ar tangent and le ulverts along t n the Plans in d under "Stre Type CMP CMP RCB RCB	ton the bridge ton the bridge ton ft.	(Rating, S) dige dige (Rating, S) dige is in satisfactory conformation of S.R. 26. the project. These are page B-47 for Struct e Table below: CV-026-012-55.67	ondition, summarized be ure locations. A	nation)
Number of Sp Weight Restri Height Restri Curb to Curb Outside to Or Shoulder Wid Length of Ch	pans: ictions: ctions: Width: utside Width: ith: annel Work: bridges and str ts: There will be has no signi	Stringer/Mult N/A N/A N/A 30 46 0.9 uctures; provice no work on the ficant crash history ditches unless the structure No. and Stry ditches unless the structure No. 200 201 202	ton ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. ft. de specific locate bridge within tory, and is on a addition of 99 ct Stationing within to the station of the stat	Propo r No won ation informathe project artangent and lead under "Street and under	ton ft.	(Rating, S) dige dige dige dige dige is in satisfactory conformation of S.R. 26. the project. These are page B-47 for Struct e Table below: Compared to the project of S.R. 26. Compared to the project of S.R. 26.	ondition, summarized be ure locations. A	nation)

			CD 26	Des. No.	1592971	
County	Clinton	_ Route	S.R. 26	Des. No.	1372711	

			⟨•				
	207	1381+49	Elliptical	34"X22"	65		
	209	1415+12	CMP	36"	60	SC-026-012-56.91	UNT 6
	210	1416+68	RCP	18"	36		
	211	1422+82	CMP	54"	68	CV-026-012-57.07	UNT 5
	213	1508+40	CMP	36"	65	SC-026-012-58.69	
		1512+98	RCP	18"	26		
	214		RCP	30"	60		
	215	1515+57		24"	60		
	216	1525+43	CMP		66	SC-026-012-59.21	
	217	1535+75	ELLIPTICAL	34"X22"		SC-026-012-59.24	
	218	1536+26	RCB	3'X3'	56	SC-020-012-39.24	
	219	1539+42	RCP	24"	67	G11 00 C 010 50 C0	
	220	1556+18	ELLIPTICAL	53"X34"	70	CV-026-012-59.60	
	221	1566+08	RCP	21"	66		2
	222	1600+59	RCP	15"	63		
	223	1616+45	ELLIPTICAL	49"X32"	68	CV-026-012-60.73	
	224	1657+69	RCP	30"	74		
	225	1670+14	. CMP	54"	70	CV-026-012-61.75	
	226	1694+74	CMP	66"	94	CV-026-012-62.20	
	228	1591+92	RCP	18"	100		
	230	1369+95	RCP-	33"	62		
	231	1404+67	RCP	30"	38		
	232	1414+26	RCP	18"	26		
	233	1421+15	RCP	15"	26		
	235	1495+32	RCP	15"	34	•	
	236	1525+64	RCP	15"	26		
	237	1591+88	RCP	18"	90		
	238	1613+25	RCP	18"	28		
	239	1658+27	RCP	21"	36		
	240	1659+85	RCP	24"	26		
	241	1668+33	RCP	. 18"	38		*
	242	1418+36	RCP	15"	26		
	243	1450+60	RCP	15"	26		
	244	1461+80	RCP	15"	38		
	245	1469+48	RCP	15"	26		
	246	1413+74	RCP	18"	31		2
70	247	1677+55	RCP	24"	58		
	248	1545+71	RCP	15"	26		
	249	1554+24	RCP	18"	38		
*		1578+77	RCP	15"	37		
	252			15"	26		
*>	254	1599+33	RCP		26		
	255	1610+24	RCP	21"	33		
	256	1616+97	RCP	15"			
	257	1606+65	RCP	15"	47		
	258	1615+75	RCP	24"	50		
	259	1417+80	RCP	18"	48	I.	
	260	1454+00	RCP	15"	48		
	261	1501+00	RCP	15"	48		
	262	1517+00	RCP	15"	48		*

County	Clinton	Route	S.R. 26	Des. No.	1592971
	2 		·		

263	1652+10	RCP	15"	48	the second secon
264	1666+50	RCP	18"	48	
265	1553+50	RCP	18"	48	
300	486+90	MOD. MH K-15	24"	45	
301	042+32	INLET C-15	12"	47	
302	042+31	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	61	
304	486+90	MOD. MH K-15	24"	87	
305	491+31	MOD, MH K-15	24"	31	
307	493+42	MOD. INLET P-12	18"	207	
308	491+31	MOD. MH K-15	24"	127	
309	490+00	MH C-4	24"	151	
310	488+45	MH C-4	24"	155	
311	488+45	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	4	*
312	490+00	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	4	
400	1357+94	INLET C-15	12"	28	
402	1353+07	MOD. MH K-15	24"	57	
403	1360+25	INLET C-15	12"	9	
404	1357+94	INLET C-15	18"	58	
405	1357+34	INLET C-15	18"	249	
406	1354+06	MOD. MH K-15	24"	31	
407	1360+75	INLET P-12	12"	66	
408	1360+25	INLET E-7	15"	98	
409	1354+82	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	10	
410	1354+82	MH C-4	24"	72	
411	1354+06	MH C-4	24"	95	
412	1357+94	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	7	
413	1362+00	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	172	
414	1363+50	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	112	
415	1364+65	INLET E-7	12"	96.5	
416	1365+65	INLET C-15	12"	13	
417	1359+25	PIPE CB, 18 IN	15"	129	
418	1357+76	PIPE CB, 18 IN	12"	25	
500	1403+75	RCP	15"	249	
501	1406+25	INLET E-7	15"	73	
502	1452+00	INLET E-7	12"	99	
503	1456+75	INLET E-7	12"	122	
504	1458+00	INLET E-7	12"	102	
505	1459+05	INLET E-7	12"	57	
506	1459+65	INLET E-7	12"	84	
507	1476+50	INLET E-7	12"	199	
508	1568+05	RCP	18"	175	
509	1578+90	RCP	18"	200	UNT 10
510	1607+50	INLET P-12	15"	50	
511	1673+27	RCP	15"	50	

Types: CMP: corrugated metal pipe; RCP: reinforced concrete pipe; RCB: reinforced concrete box

The location of the culverts are shown on the plans located Appendix B, pages B-47 to B-125

County	Clinton		Route	S.R. 26	Des. No	. 1592971
Journey .						140 1 11 11
		ects to each of the Section A in this		the above table Uni	named Tributaries 3, 5, 6, 7, a	and 10 are described i
Vill the strue propose	ucture be rehab d action has m	ilitated or repla	aced as part of the	e project? s, this section sho	Yes X ould be filled out for each s	No N tructure.
MAINTEN	ANCE OF TR	AFFIC (MOT) DURING COI	NSTRUCTION:		
s a tempor Vill the pro Provisio Provisio Provisio Vill the pro	ns will be made ns will be made ns will be made posed MOT su	oposed? use of a detoue for access by for through-tre to accommod bstantially chai	local traffic and s affic dependent b late any local spe nge the environm	np closure? (desc so posted. usinesses. cial events or fest ental consequenc sed method for M	tivals. ces of the action?	Yes X X X X
Remarks:	emergency ser completion.	vices); however	, no significant dela	ays are anticipated,	o traveling motorists (including and all inconveniences will conveniences will conveniences will conveniences will conveniences will conveniences will convenience with the convenience will convenience with the convenience will be convenience	ng school buses and ease upon project
	Several section culverts. These use S.R. 75 an 421 which woo Crawfordsville of S.R. 26 will access for local One comment concerned that farm equipment	as of roadway we locations will I d S.R. 29 to travuld detour traffication and LaPorte Disonly be used for I traffic, depend was received frought the one way trant. The plans with the contraction was received frought.	ill need vertical aligitely require a full tel north to S.R. 18. through the City of istrict, and it would be the aforementione the businesses, and the aforement during ffic with narrow latter the contract	gnment alterations closure and detour Using the route to of Frankfort. This pradd 18.4 miles to ted reasons and will local events will but the Section 106 Comes will prevent him or will be required	in addition to the replacement to construct. The preferred of the north along S.R. 18 is prereferred detour route would us the straight-line distance along be kept to a minimum. Provise included in the final plans. Onsulting Party comment perion from reaching farm fields due to maintain access for farm expenses.	ficial detour route is ferred over using U. tilize roads in both the S.R. 26. A full clossions detailing the od. The farmer is ue to the width of his
	In accordance contacting sch	his requirement with the current ool districts and zed for traffic m	is included as a fir INDOT Design Ma emergency service	m commitment in S anual and Standara s two weeks prior t in accordance with	Section J. Specifications, the sponsor we construction. Notification at the current INDOT Standard	vill be responsible for nd all signs, lights, a
	The detour rou	te is shown on t	he Project Plans (A	ppendix B, page B	-18)	
	8			·,		
STIMAT	ED PROJECT	COST AND	SCHEDULE:	<u> </u>		
Engineering	g: \$ 1,863,51	.0 (2017-19)	_ Right-of-Way:	\$_1,511,500	(2018) Construction:	\$ 10,407,000 (20

Project name:

This is page 9

S.R. 26 Pavement Rehabilitation and Shoulder Widening Date: April 18, 2019

County Clinton	Route S.I	R. 26	Des. No. 1592971
		1	y.
Date project incorporated into STIP	7/26/2017		
Is the project in an MPO Area?	es No X		
If yes,			•
Name of MPO N/A			
Location of Project in TIP	<u> </u>		
Date of incorporation by reference in	to the STIP N/A		
RIGHT OF WAY:			

,	Amount (acres)		
Land Use Impacts	Permanent	Temporary	
Residential	33.693	0.232	
Commercial	0.0	0.0	
Agricultural	27.178	0.036	
Forest	0.13	0.0	
Wetlands	0.31	0.0	
Other:	0.0	0.0	
Other:	0.0	0.0	
TOTA	61.311	0.268	

Describe both Permanent and Temporary right-of-way and describe their current use. Typical and Maximum right-of-way widths (existing and proposed) should also be discussed. Any advance acquisition or reacquisition, either known or suspected, and there impacts on the environmental analysis should be discussed.

Remarks:

Due to the project schedule, this project required the process of right of way acquisition to begin early. An advanced authorization CE-1 was approved on 10/15/2018 by INDOT to begin the acquisition of ROW for the project. The ROW is needed solely for the purpose of the proposed improvements. The approval of the CE-1 allows the ROW acquisition to proceed prior to completion of environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The acquisition must comply with the Uniform Act and must not influence the decision of the environmental review process of the project required under NEPA. These requirements apply to all projects that receive or are expected to receive Federal-aid funding for any part of the project.

Based on the right of way (ROW) plans a total of 61.311 acres of permanent ROW and 0.268 acre of temporary ROW will be acquired. The final ROW values as shown above, are slightly different then included in the CE-1 MAP 21 (57.655 acres permanent and 3.031 acres of temporary ROW). The difference is due to the values used in the CE-1 MAP 21 CE incorrectly classifying some permanent ROW as temporary ROW. There were also some errors made in calculating the area of the ROW on several parcels. The current ROW is 3.656 acres more of permanent ROW and 2.763 less acres of temporary ROW compared to the CE-1 MAP 21 CE. The number of parcels where ROW will be acquired remains at 76 parcels. An Additional Information (AI) was approved on 4/11/2019 by INDOT-ESD to correct the ROW acreage in the CE-1MAP 21 CE to match the values contained in this CE-3. All ROW will be strip takes and there will be no relocations of residences or businesses due to the project. The temporary ROW is associated with driveway and ditch modifications to tie the proposed improvements into existing ground elevations. The width of the ROW varies throughout the project length from the 55 ft. in the unincorporated towns to 150 ft. wide in the rural areas where side roadside ditches are needed to control stormwater. The ROW is needed solely for the purpose of the proposed improvements.

This	is	page	10	